Cabinet



Title of Report:	Report of the Performance and Audit Scrutiny Committee: 27 July 2016		
Report No:	CAB/FH/16/035		
Report to and date:	Cabinet	13 September 2016	
Portfolio Holder:	Stephen Edwards Portfolio Holder for Resources and Performance Tel: 01638 660518 Email: stephen.edwards@forst-heath.gov.uk		
Chairman of the Committee:	Louis Busuttil Chairman of the Performance and Audit Scrutiny Committee Tel: 01638 810517 Email: louis.busuttil@forest-heath.govuk		
Lead Officer:	Christine Brain Democratic Services Officer (Scrutiny) Tel: 01638 719729 Email: Christine.brain@westsuffolk.gov.uk		
Purpose of report:	On 27 July 2016, the Performance and Audit Scrutiny Committee held an informal joint meeting with members of St Edmundsbury's Performance and Audit Scrutiny Committee, and considered the first three items jointly:		
	(1) Balanced Scorecard and Quarter 1 Performance Report 2016-2017;		
	(2) West Suffolk Strateg Report – June 2016;	gic Risk Register Monitoring	
	(3) Work Programme Up	odate;	
		ce Report (Revenue and (April to June 2016);	
	, ,	nagement Report 2015-2016 vity (April to June 2016).	

	A separate report is included on this Cabinet agenda for Item (5) above.		
Recommendation:	The Cabinet is requested to <u>NOTE</u> the contents of Report CAB/FH/16/035, being the report of the Performance and Audit Scrutiny Committee.		
Key Decision:	Is this a Key Decision and, if so, under which		
(Check the appropriate	definition?		
box and delete all those	Yes, it is a Key Decision - □		
that do not apply.)	No, it is not a Key Decision - \boxtimes		
	Report for information only.		
Consultation:			reports listed in Section 2 below.
Alternative option(s): • See		• See	reports listed in Section 2 below
Implications:			
Are there any financial implications?		tions?	Yes □ No □
If yes, please give details			Please see background papers.
Are there any staffing implications?		ons?	Yes □ No □
If yes, please give details			Please see background papers.
Are there any ICT implications? If		If	Yes □ No □
yes, please give details			Please see background papers
Are there any legal and/or policy		licy	Yes □ No □
implications?			Please see background papers.
Are there any equality implications?		ions?	Yes □ No □
If yes, please give details		_	Please see background papers.
Risk/opportunity assessment:		t:	Please see background papers.
Ward(s) affected:			Please see background papers.
Background papers:			Please see background papers, which are listed at the end of the report.
Documents attached:			None

1. Key issues and reasons for recommendation

1.1 <u>Balanced Scorecard and Quarter 1 Performance Report 2016-2017</u> (Report No: PAS/FH/16/015)

- 1.1.1 The Committee received Report No PAS/FH/16/015, which set out the West Suffolk Balanced Scorecards being used to measure the Council's performance for 2016-2017 and an overview of performance against those indicators for the first quarter of 2016-2017. The six current balanced scorecards (attached at Appendices A to F to Report No: PAS/FH/16/000) were linked to the Heads of Service areas, which presented Quarter 1 2016-2017 performance.
- 1.1.2 Most indicators reported performance against an agreed target using a traffic light system with additional commentary provided for performance indicators below optimum performance.
- 1.1.3 Across all service balanced scorecards, there were indicators measuring the performance of the transactional finance functions. These were "% of non-disputed invoices paid within 30 days" and "% debt over 90 days old". In the previous financial year, against these indicators, almost all service areas had failed to meet the targets of more than 95% of non-disputed invoices paid within 30 days and less that 10% of debt over 90 days.
- 1.1.4 The finance and performance team had been working with service areas to try and improve performance against both of these measures. As a result of this, for the first time all six service areas achieved over 90% performance on invoices paid within 30 days for the month of June, with two service areas being over 95%.
- 1.1.5 No issues were required to be brought to the attention of Cabinet.

1.2 <u>West Suffolk Strategic Risk Register Quarterly Report – June 2016</u> (Report No: PAS/FH/16/016)

- 1.2.1 The Committee received and noted the first quarterly risk register monitoring report in respect of the West Suffolk Strategic Risk Register. The Register was updated regularly by the Risk Management Group and at its recent meeting the Group reviewed the target risk, the risk level where the Council aimed to be, and agreed a current risk assessment. These assessments formed the revised West Suffolk Risk Register (Appendix 1). Some individual controls and actions had been updated and those which were not ongoing and had been completed by June 2016 had been removed from the Register.
- 1.2.2 The Group had considered new or amended risks, and felt that the Probability rating allocated to the Residual Risk of WS7, Poor Project Management, be increased from 2 to 3.
- 1.2.3 There had been no existing risk closed since the Strategic Risk Register was last report to the Committee.
- 1.2.4 Members were advised that at the time of writing the report the full impact of the decision to leave the EU was not known, minor amendments had been made to some of the existing actions and controls to address immediate

concerns. However, the Group would continue to monitor the situation as it developed over the next quarter, amending existing and / or adding new risks where necessary.

1.2.5 Members considered the report and did not raise any issues.

1.3 Work Programme Update (Report No: PAS/FH/16/017)

1.3.1 The Committee received and <u>noted</u> its Work Programme which provided items scheduled to be presented to the Committee during 2016.

1.4 <u>Financial Performance Report (Revenue and Capital) 2016-2017 – Quarter 1 (April to June 2016) (Report No: PAS/FH/16/018)</u>

- 1.4.1 The Committee received and noted Report No: PAS/FH/16/018, which set out the financial performance for the first quarter of 2016-2017 and forecasted outturn position for 2016-2017.
- 1.4.2 Attached at Appendix A and B to the report was details of the Council's revenue performance and year end forecasted outturn position. Explanations of the main year end over/(under) spends was set out within paragraph 1.2.3. Appendix C to the report set out the Council's capital financial position for the first three months of 2016-2017, which showed expenditure of £1,019,679. Finally, a summary of earmarked reserves was attached at Appendix D, along with the forecast year end position for 2016-2017.
- 1.4.3 The Resources and Performance Team would continue to work with Budget Holders to monitor capital spend and project progress closely for the remainder of the financial year and an updated position would be presented to the Committee on a quarter basis.
- 1.4.3 The Committee scrutinised the report and asked questions to which officers duly responded. In particular officers provided explanations on the variances set out in paragraph 1.2.3 and outlined the reasoning for these.
- 1.4.4 There being no decision required, the Committee <u>noted</u> the Quarter 1 performance and the 2016-2017 year end forecast financial position.

2. Background Papers

- 2.1.1 Report No PAS/FH/16/015 & Appendix A; Appendix B; Appendix C; Appendix D; Appendix E; Appendix F Balanced Scorecards and Quarter 1 Performance Report 2016-2017
- 2.1.2 Report No PAS/FH/16/016 & Appendix 1 West Suffolk Strategic Risk Register Quarterly Monitoring Report June 2016
- 2.1.3 Report No PAS/FH/16/017 Work Programme Update
- 2.1.4 Report No PAS/FH/16/018 & Appendix A; Appendix B; Appendix C; Appendix D Financial Performance Report (Revenue and Capital) 2016-2017 Quarter 1 (April to June 2016)